

Effect of different crop geometry on the growth and yield of Pea (*Pisum sativum*) under Teak (*Tectona grandis*) based Agroforestry system

Anil Kumar, B. Mehera and Yogesh Kumar Agarwal

Department of Agroforestry, College of Forestry SHUATS, Prayagraj-211007

Email: anil.35tiwari@gmail.com

Abstract

The field experimental study was conducted at Forest Nursery & Research Farm, College of Forestry, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P) India, to study the Effect of different crop geometry on the growth and yield of Pea (*Pisum sativum*) under Teak (*Tectona grandis*) based agroforestry system. The experiment was laid out in RBD (factorial) with nine treatments and three replications in open area as a sole crop and under Teak. The treatments comprised 3 levels of spacing (15×20, 20×25, and 25×30 cm). Results indicate that S₃ (25×30 cm) gave significantly maximum germination percentage (95.086) in open and (93.943) under Teak, plant height (61.53 cm) in open area and (60.00 cm) under teak, No. of leaves (73.426) in open area and (72.533) under Teak, No. of pods/plant (9.956) in open area and (9.500) under Teak, Test weight (520.056) in open area and (518.163) under Teak, and Total green pea yield (79.006q/ha) in open area and (78.410) under Teak based Agroforestry system.

Keywords: Spacing, Pea, Teak, Crop geometry, Plant height, No. of pods, Yield etc.

INTRODUCTION

Agroforestry system with judicious mixing of crop and trees meet all basic requirements of mankind and ecosystem (Ranjan et al, 2016). Growing of perennial crops is one of the strategies to improve soil conditions which would result in enhancing soil attributes and contributing to the good health of the soil. These positive effects are often attributed to the component of the system. Before discussing evidence to support or discredit these concepts it is pertinent to define what is meant by the terms agroforestry and how this relates to the impact of trees on soils (Kumar et al., 2015).

Pea is an annual herbaceous plant of leguminosae family. Plants, being erect in case of garden pea, remain erect while in case of field pea they have a tendency to climb when provided with a support. Plants bear tap root system with nodules on the surface. Stems are hollow, slender, succulent and ridged. It bears pinnately compound leaves with three pairs of leaf-lets and terminal one is modified into a branched tendrils. The flowers are

arranged in the form of an auxiliary raceme. The seeds may be round. A Based on genetic diversity, four centers of origins, namely, Central Asia, the Near East, Abyssinia and the Mediterranean have been recognized (Gritton, 1980). Non-pigmented peas to be used as a vegetable were grown in United Kingdom in the middle Ages (Davies et al., 1985). Pea was introduced into the Americas soon after Columbus and a winter type pea was introduced from Austria in 1922. Peas are cultivated for the fresh green seeds, tender green pods, dried seeds and foliage (Duke, 1981). Green peas are eaten cooked as a vegetable, and are marketed fresh, canned, or frozen while ripe dried peas are used whole, split, or made into flour (Davies et al., 1985). Green foliage of garden pea is also used as vegetable in parts of Asia and Africa. Leaves are used as a pot herb in Burma and parts of Africa" (Kay, 1979). Some cultivars are grown for their tender green pods, which are eaten cooked or raw. "Oil from ripened seed has antisex hormonal effects; produces sterility and

antagonizes effect of male hormone" (Duke, 1981). "Based on protein digestibility of peas in broilers, it is reported that the natural protein of peas and faba bean is almost entirely digested in the small intestine and the impaired performance in literature was attributed to an increased secretion of endogenous protein" (Huisman and Van der Poil, 1994).

Teak is one of the valuable species which can be successfully cultivated in agroforestry system. It is considered as king of timber species. It is a deciduous tree, grows straight, and has cylindrical bole, sparse canopy and deep root system which together make it more suitable for agroforestry system. Its durability, strength properties, workability, polishing qualities and versatile utility offer a distinctive position in world-wide timber trade.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during the *Rabi* season at the Research farm and Forest nursery, College of Forestry, SHUATS, Prayagraj. The soil had pH of 7.6, available soil N, P₂O₅ and K₂O 237, 19.60 and 95 kg ha⁻¹ respectively. The experiment was laid out in RBD (factorial) with three levels of crop geometry comprising of nine treatment combinations each replicated thrice. Treatments were randomly arranged in each replication, divided into twenty seven plots. The

treatments comprised 3 levels of crop geometry (15×20, 20×25, and 25×30 cm). Vasundhara-11 variety was selected for sowing and crop was shown on 15th October. The recommended dose of 80:70:60 kg N: P: K per ha was applied according to the treatment details. Nitrogen was applied in two split doses 1/2 nitrogen, whole of phosphorus and potash at the time of sowing and remaining 1/2 nitrogen at 30 days after sowing.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Crop geometry significantly influenced the plant height, no. of leaves, no. of pods/plant; test weight and pea yield (Table1 and table2). Crop geometry 25 × 30 cm was recorded significantly maximum germination percentage (95.086) in open and (93.943) under Teak, plant height (61.53 cm) in open area and (60.00 cm) under teak, No. of leaves (73.426) in open area and (72.533) under Teak, No. of pods/plant (9.956) in open area and (9.500) under Teak, Test weight (520.056) in open area and (518.163) under Teak, and Total green pea yield (79.006q/ha) in open area and (78.410) under Teak based Agroforestry system. Whereas, crop geometry 15×20 was recorded significantly least germination percentage, plant height, no. of leaves, no. of pods/plant, test weight and pea yield in respect to growth and yield parameters as compared to crop geometry 20×25, and 25×30 cm.

Table 1: Effect of different crop geometry and their interaction on growth and yield attributes of Pea in open area as a sole crop.

| Treatment | Germination Percentage | Plant height (cm) | No. of leaves Plant ⁻¹ | No. of pods plant ⁻¹ | Test weight (1000 seeds) | Green Pea yield (q ha ⁻¹) |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| T ₁ | 92.443 | 58.700 | 72.066 | 9.333 | 518.933 | 78.390 |
| T ₂ | 90.610 | 56.833 | 71.333 | 8.800 | 517.900 | 77.850 |
| T ₃ | 92.416 | 55.100 | 71.800 | 8.870 | 517.493 | 78.033 |
| T ₄ | 95.086 | 61.533 | 73.426 | 9.956 | 520.056 | 79.006 |
| T ₅ | 92.120 | 55.466 | 72.033 | 8.280 | 517.733 | 78.260 |
| T ₆ | 86.333 | 53.410 | 68.333 | 7.433 | 516.346 | 73.310 |
| T ₇ | 91.000 | 56.433 | 71.600 | 8.066 | 518.180 | 76.640 |
| T ₈ | 91.093 | 55.100 | 72.000 | 8.466 | 518.210 | 76.073 |
| T ₉ | 86.120 | 56.800 | 71.000 | 8.000 | 516.120 | 76.080 |

| | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| F-test | NS | S | S | S | S | S |
| CD at 5% | 1.912 | 2.590 | 0.964 | 3.104 | 1.121 | 1.164 |
| Interaction | 0.774 | 1.140 | 2.166 | 1.114 | 0.544 | 0.541 |

Table 2: Effect of different crop geometry and their interaction on growth and yield attributes of Pea under teak based Agroforestry system

| Treatment | Germination Percentage | Plant height (cm) | No. of leaves Plant ⁻¹ | No. of pods plant ⁻¹ | Test weight (1000 seeds) | Green Pea yield (q ha ⁻¹) |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| T ₁ | 91.223 | 57.666 | 71.200 | 9.096 | 517.773 | 78.126 |
| T ₂ | 90.640 | 55.966 | 70.053 | 8.433 | 517.316 | 77.706 |
| T ₃ | 91.000 | 52.490 | 70.033 | 8.243 | 517.303 | 77.700 |
| T ₄ | 93.943 | 60.000 | 72.533 | 9.500 | 518.163 | 78.410 |
| T ₅ | 87.036 | 53.466 | 70.100 | 7.800 | 517.543 | 77.670 |
| T ₆ | 85.000 | 49.700 | 68.133 | 6.766 | 514.130 | 72.133 |
| T ₇ | 85.000 | 53.900 | 70.566 | 7.900 | 517.723 | 75.740 |
| T ₈ | 87.166 | 54.100 | 71.100 | 8.230 | 517.673 | 75.676 |
| T ₉ | 84.122 | 50.000 | 69.073 | 7.800 | 515.160 | 74.182 |
| F-test | NS | S | S | S | S | S |
| CD at 5% | 2.166 | 3.116 | 1.052 | 0.717 | 1.958 | 1.224 |
| Interaction | 0.854 | 1.510 | 0.441 | 0.334 | 0.654 | 0.541 |

The probable reason for significant increase in yield component observed with successive increase in plant population up to 25 × 30 cm crop geometry and decrease in crop growth rate with decrease in plant population. The results are in confirmation with the results reported by Gozubenli *et al.* (2003) and Sahoo *et al.* (2007).

CONCLUSION

It was concluded from the study that crop geometry 25 × 30 cm significantly increased the germination

REFERENCES

- Bindhani, Anita, Barik, K.C., Garnayak, L.M. and Mahapatra, P.k. (2007). Nitrogen management in baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 52 (2): 135-138.
- Das, S., Ghosh, G., Kaleem, M.D. and Bahadur, V. (2009). Effect of different levels of nitrogen and crop geometry on the growth, yield and quality of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) cv. 'golden baby'. *Acta Horticulturae* 809, 161-166.
- Kumar, H., Umrao, R., Tripathi M. K. (2015). Varietal performance of Linseed (*Linum usitatissimum*) planted at different spacing under Teak (*Tectonagrandis*) based Agroforestry system. *Journal of International Academic Research for Multidisciplinary*. 2(12):261-268.
- Pandey, A., Mani, V. and Prakash, V. (2002). Effect of the varieties and plant densities on yield, yield attributes and economics of baby

- corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Indian Journal of Agronomy* 47 (2): 221-226.
- Pandey, a.k., Prakash, V., Mani, V.P. and Singh, R.D. (2000). Effect of rate of nitrogen and time of application on yield and economics of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Indian journal of agronomy* 45 (2): 338-342.
- Panwar, A.S. and Munda G.C. (2006). Response of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) to nitrogen and land configuration in mid hills of Meghalaya. *Indian Journal of Agricultural sciences* 76 (5): 293-296
- Ranjan, R. K., Kumar, H., Umrao, R., (2016). Efficacy of oat, berseem and lucerne under Subabul (*Leucaenaleucocephala*) and poplar (*Populous deltoides*) based silvopastoral system, *The Bioscan.*; 11(4):2371-2374.
- Ramachandrappa, B.K., Nanjappa, H.v. and Shivakumar, H.K. (2004) Yield and quality of baby corn (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by spacing and fertilization levels. *Acta Agronomica Hungarica* 52 (3): 237-243.
- Sahoo, S.C. and Mahapatra, P.K. (2007). Response of sweet corn (*Zea mays*) to plant population and fertility levels during Rabi season. *Indian journal of Agriculture Sciences* 77 (11): 779-81

CITATION OF THIS ARTICLE

Kumar, Anil, Mehera, B. and AgarwalYogesh Kumar (2021). Effect of different crop geometry on the growth and yield of Pea (*Pisum sativum*) under Teak (*Tectona grandis*) based Agroforestry system, *Int. J. Agriworld*, Vol. 2 [1] : 24-27.